

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/554,633	10/25/2005	Eugene Paul Wiltz Jr	63562 US	9170
7590 10/01/2008 Gary C Cohen		EXAMINER		
1147 North Fourth Street			COONEY, JOHN M	
Unit 6E Philadelphia, I	PA 19123		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
i iiiiideipiiiii, i			1796	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/01/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/554.633 WILTZ JR ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit John Cooney 1796 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-35 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-35 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage

application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date	
<ol> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SE/08)</li> </ol>	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 20060130.	6)  Other:	
S. Patent and Trademark Office		

Application/Control Number: 10/554,633

Art Unit: 1796

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cobb et al. (5,981,613) in view of Peerman et al. (4,423,162).

Cobb et al. discloses preparations of slabstock polyurethane foams prepared by mixing and reacting polyols inclusive of polyester polyols, isocyanates, blowing agents, catalysts which may be catalysts other than tin catalysts, blowing agents inclusive of water and/or other blowing agents, and surfactants as claimed by applicants (see abstract, column 1 line 37-column 5 line 33, as well as, the entire document).

Cobb et al. differs from applicants' claims in that it does not require the employment of polyester polyols as claimed by applicants. However, Peerman et al. discloses employment of the hydroxymethyl-containing polyester polyols of applicants' claims as polyols used in the preparation of polyurethane foams, for the purpose of achieving adequate product formation with less required heating on mixing (see abstract, column 2 line 20-column 11 line 15, as well as, the entire document). Accordingly, it would have been obvious for one having ordinary skill in the art to have employed the hydroxymethyl-containing polyester polyols of Peerman et al. as the

Art Unit: 1796

polyester polyols used in the preparations of Cobb et al. for the purpose of achieving adequate product formation with less required heating on mixing in order to arrive at the products and processes of applicants' claims with the expectation of success in the absence of a showing of new or unexpected results.

As to density values of claims of applicants' invention, though these ranges of density values are not particularly recited by the teachings of Cobb et al., difference based on these ranges of values is not seen as Cobb et al. discloses slabstock foams and provides a comprehensive range of blowing agent inclusion sufficient to meet this limitation of the claims. However, even if difference were evident, it would have been obvious for one having ordinary skill in the art to have varied the blowing and auxiliaryblowing agent inclusion within the teachings of Cobb et al. for the purpose of varying densities in products realized in order to arrive at the products and processes of applicants' claims with the expectation of success in the absence of a showing of new or unexpected results. Further, it has long been held that where the general conditions of the claims are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimal or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233; In re Reese 129 USPQ 402. Further, a prima facie case of obviousness has been held to exist where the proportions of a reference are close enough to those of the claims to lead to an expectation of the same properties. Titanium Metals v Banner 227 USPQ 773. (see also MPEP 2144.05 I) Similarly, it has been held that discovering the optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. In re Boesch, 617 F.2d 272,205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

Application/Control Number: 10/554,633 Page 4

Art Unit: 1796

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Cooney whose telephone number is 571-272-1070. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 9 to 6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck, can be reached on 571-272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-cfirect.usptio.gov">http://pair-cfirect.usptio.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 868-217-9197 (toll-free).

/John Cooney/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796